

DOI: 10.14744/ejmi.2021.56436 EJMI 2021;5(2):269–273

Research Article



Can Neutrophil-To-Lymphocyte Ratio or Platelet-To-Lymphocyte Ratio Predict Chemotherapy Response in Testicular Cancer?

🔟 Serdar Karakaya, 🗅 Ibrahim Karadag, 🗅 Ozturk Ates, 🕩 Omur Berna Cakmak Oksuzoglu

Department of Medical Oncology, Dr. A.Y. Oncology Training and Research Hospital, Ankara, Turkey

Abstract

Objectives: The relationships of NLR (neutrophil lymphocyte ratio) and PLR (platelet lymphocyte ratio) with treatment response and prognosis of many types of cancer have been previously investigated. However, there is no study in the literature about the relationship of NLR and PLR with treatment response in testicular germ cell tumors (GCTs). We aimed to investigate the relationship between pre-treatment NLR and PLR values with treatment response and prognosis in patients with testicular GCT.

Methods: The data of 40 patients with testicular cancer (TCa) who were followed at our center between 2017-2020 and received 3-4 cycles of BEP protocol were retrospectively screened and included who met the criteria for inclusion in the study.

Results: Complete response (CR) to chemotherapy was obtained in 57.5% of the patients, and partial response (PR) was obtained in 35% of the patients. In comparison to the CR group, the mean NLR values were significantly higher in the non-CR group (p=0.02). Mean PLR values were also significantly higher in the non-CR group (p=0.026). Statistically significant positive correlations were found between tumor stage and NLR & PLR values (r=0.505, p=0.001 for NLR; r=0.397, p=0.011 for PLR). A statistically significant positive correlation was found between tumor stage and neutrophil level (r=0.365, p=0.020), while a statistically significant negative correlation was found between tumor stage and lymphocyte level (r=-0.314, p=0.048).

Conclusion: In the present study we found a correlation between high NLR and PLR values and low CR rates. This relationship can be supported by further studies.

Keywords: Chemotherapy response, NLR, PLR, testicular cancer

Cite This Article: Karakaya S, Karadağ İ, Ateş Ö, Çakmak Öksüzoğlu ÖB. Can Neutrophil-To-Lymphocyte Ratio or Platelet-To-Lymphocyte Ratio Predict Chemotherapy Response in Testicular Cancer? EJMI 2021;5(2):269–273.

Testicular germ cell tumors (GCTs) are the most common solid tumor in men aged 20–34, and its incidence has increased in recent years.^[11] Testicular germ cell tumors are chemosensitive tumors. GCT is among the solid tumors with the best treatment response, with a five-year survival rate in excess of 95%. Standard treatment in patients with GCT is radical inguinal orchiectomy with/without adjuvant platinum-based chemotherapy.^[2] Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR) are parameters derived from complete blood count which is an easily performed, widely available and inexpensive test. These indices are utilized in clinical practice due to their ability to reflect systemic inflammatory response. NLR and PLR are also used as forms of inflammatory- and immunological-based scoring methods for prognostic purposes in many cancers.^[3-7] High NLR and

Address for correspondence: Serdar Karakaya, MD. Tıbbi Onkoloji Anabilim Dalı, Dr. A.Y. Onkoloji Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesi, Ankara, Turkey Phone: +90 312 336 09 09 E-mail: drserdarkarakaya@gmail.com

Submitted Date: April 06, 2021 Accepted Date: July 04, 2021 Available Online Date: July 12, 2021 ^oCopyright 2021 by Eurasian Journal of Medicine and Investigation - Available online at www.ejmi.org OPEN ACCESS This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License.



PLR values have been shown to be associated with tumor aggressiveness and poor prognosis.^[8-10] Systemic inflammatory response has been found to be significantly associated with tumor progression and tumor response in many malignancies, such as gastroesophageal, ovarian, lung and breast cancers.^[11-16] It has been reported that high NLR and PLR values in the pre-immunotherapy period can be used as potent prognostic markers associated with poor survival in many tumor types.^[17-23]

The relationships of NLR and PLR with treatment response and prognosis of many types of cancer have been previously investigated. However, there is no study in the literature about the relationship of NLR and PLR with treatment response in GCTs. The present study aimed to investigate the relationship between pre-treatment NLR and PLR values with treatment response and prognosis in patients with testicular GCT.

Methods

Forty patients with GCT who were followed in our clinic between 2017–2020 and received 3 or 4 cycles of BEP chemotherapy protocol (bleomycin 30 mg/day 1, 8, 15/21, cisplatin 20 mg/m2/day 1-5/21, etoposide 100 mg/m2/day 1-5/21) were included in the present retrospective study. Patients with seminomatous and nonseminomatous GCT who were older than 18 years of age and whose body mass index (BMI) values were within the normal range were included in the study. Patients with chronic disease or secondary malignancies were excluded. Along with the demographic data of all patients, their height, body surface areas and complete blood count parameters were recorded. NLR and PLR were calculated with the formula: Neutrophil count (/ μ L)/Lymphocyte count (/ μ L).

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 25 (IBM, Armonk, USA). A p value of 0.05 or lower was considered statistically significant. Descriptive statistics were given as percentage and median (range or interquartile range)values. The relationships between NLR & PLR and other clinicopathological parameters was studied by non-parametric tests. Spearman correlation and regression analyses were performed to determine relationships between the variables.

Results

The demographic and pathological characteristics of the patients are presented in Table 1. The median age of the patients was 29.5 (IQR 24.25–35.75) years. While 31 of the pa-

	Seminom (n=9)	Non-seminom (n=31)	Total (n=40)
Age	31 (18-44)	29 (18-67)	29.5 (18-67)
Stage, n (%)			
1	1 (11.1)	12 (38.7)	13 (32.5)
2	5 (55.6)	8 (25.8)	13 (32.5)
3	3 (33.3)	11 (35.5)	14 (35)
S0	7 (77.7)	11 (35.5)	18 (45)
S1	2 (22.3)	17 (54.8)	19 (47.5)
S2	0	1 (3.2)	1 (2.5)
S3	0	2 (6.5)	2 (5)
Metastatic site, n (%)			
Lymph node	6 (66.6)	17 (54.8)	23 (57.5)
Retroperitoneal	4 (44.4)	14 (45.2)	18 (45)
Mediastinal	2 (22.2)	7 (22.5)	9 (22.5)
Lung	2 (22.2)	6 (19.3)	8 (20)
liver	1 (11.1)	0	1 (2.5)
Risk group, n (%)			
Good	7 (77.7)	23 (74.2)	30 (75)
İntermediate	2 (22.3)	2 (6.5)	4 (10)
Poor	-	6 (19.3)	6 (15)

tients had nonseminomatous GCT (77.5%), 9 had seminomatous GCT (22.5%). Thirteen patients had stage 1 (32.5%) and 2 (32.5%) tumors, and 14 patients had stage 3 (35%) tumors. Twenty-three patients had lymph node metastases (57.5%), 9 patients had mediastinal metastases (22.5%) and 8 patients had pulmonary metastases (20%). The vast majority of patients had S0 (45%) and S1 (47.5%) disease. In addition, 75% (n=30) of the patients were in the good (n=30), 10% (n=4) were in the intermediate and 15% (n = 6) were in the poor prognostic risk group (Table 1).

In the present study, the median follow-up duration is 10 (IQR 4–20) months. While 62.5% of the patients received 3 cycles of BEP, 30% of them received 4 cycles of BEP. Complete response (CR) to chemotherapy was obtained in 57.5% of the patients, and partial response (PR) was obtained in 35% of the patients. Of the three deaths in total, two were accepted as chemotherapy-induced deaths. The remaining patient had severe tumor burden and his death was considered disease-induced death. No statistically significant difference was found between the good prognostic risk group and the combined (intermediate and poor prognostic risk) group in terms of CR and non-CR (p=0.196).

Compared to the CR group, the non-CR group had significantly higher neutrophil levels (4353 ± 1341 vs. 5470 ± 2076 , respectively, p=0.046), while lymphocyte levels were found to be significantly lower (2153 ± 863 vs. 1614 ± 504 , respectively, p=0.027). In comparison to the CR group,

Table 1. Patients characteristics

the NLR values were significantly higher in the non-CR group (2.27±1.44 vs. 3.48 ± 1.69 , respectively, p=0.02) (Fig. 1). PLR values were also significantly higher in the non-CR group (145.31±69.80 vs. 193.90±59.10, respectively, p=0.026) (Table 2, Fig. 2). Statistically significant positive correlations were found between tumor stage and NLR & PLR values (r=0.505, p=0.001 for NLR; r=0.397, p=0.011 for PLR). A statistically significant positive correlation was found between tumor stage and neutrophil level (r=0.365, p=0.020), while a statistically significant negative correlation was found between tumor stage and lymphocyte level (r=-0.314, p=0.048).

Discussion

The present study investigates the effects of pre-treatment PLR and NLR with regard to the response to treatment in patients with GCT. We observed that patients with low pre-treatment PLR and NLR values had better response to treatment.

Systemic inflammation plays an important role in all stages of tumorigenesis. Inflammation can induce tumorigenesis through genetic mutations, genomic instability and epigenetic modifications. Inflammation also activates tissue



Figure 1. Association between NLR ratio and Response.



Figure 2. Association between PLR ratio and Response.

repair which induces proliferation of premalignant cells, stimulates angiogenesis, causes immunosuppression, and facilitates metastasis by creating microenvironments in which malignant cells can thrive.^[24] Neutrophils and lymphocytes are crucial inflammatory mediators in many types of cancer. It has been reported that the increase in neutrophil count supports tumor growth and suppresses anti-tumor immune response.^[25] Activated neutrophils suppress lymphocyte function, leading to immunosuppression and the release of enzymes with low anti-tumor activity.^[26,27] It has been reported that platelets increase the invasion capacity of circulating tumor cells, trigger epithelial-mesenchymal transition, and thus, facilitate metastasis.^[28,29] In the light of all this information, it can be thought that NLR and PLR scoring can be used to predict inflammatory condition.

High levels of inflammatory markers such as NLR and PLR have been used as factors reflecting high prognostic risk and low chemotherapy responses in various solid tumor types, including breast, gastroesophageal and colorectal cancer.^[30-34] Similarly, high NLR, PLR and neutrophil levels and low lymphocyte levels were found to be high prognostic risk factors in the present study. While progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) were used as endpoints in similar studies, the present study was based on radiological responses to chemotherapy. In line with the present study, higher rates of CR were observed in breast cancer patients with low NLR and PLR values.^[16] In agreement with our results, previous studies observed that increased NLR and PLR values resulted in weaker response to chemotherapy in patients with advanced gastric cancer.^[34]

Patients with normal BMI values were included in the present study in order to prevent obesity from being a confounder.^[35] This added positive value to the present study. It should be noted that a previous similar study, determined no statistically significant positive correlations between seminomatous testicular GCT stage and NLR, PLR and neutrophil levels.^[36] However, in the present study, a positive correlation was found between tumor stage and these inflammatory markers in seminomatous and non seminomatous GCT.

The present study is valuable in that it is the first study in the literature evaluating NLR and PLR in the context of treatment response in patients with GCT. The limitations of the study were that our study group was relatively small and heterogeneous, in addition to the fact that the majority of patients had early stage tumors. Another limitation of the study was that the study period was not long enough to identify PFS and OS data.

Inflammatory biomarkers have been used to predict endpoints such as PFS and OS after chemotherapy or immunotherapy and response to treatment in many types of cancer. Studies on the use of inflammatory biomarkers in GCT were relatively few. The present study found a correlation between high NLR and PLR values and low CR rates. This relationship can be supported by further studies.

Disclosures

Ethics Committee Approval: This study was conducted in compliance with the ethical principles according to the Declaration of Helsinki, and it was approved by the local Institutional Review Board (Number: 2021/115).

Peer-review: Externally peer-reviewed.

Conflict of Interest: None declared.

Authorship Contributions: Concept – S.K., I.K., O.A., O.B.Ç.K.; Design – S.K., I.K., O.A., O.B.Ç.K.; Supervision – S.K., I.K., O.A., O.B.Ç.K.; Materials – S.K., I.K.; Data collection &/or processing – S.K., I.K.; Analysis and/or interpretation – S.K., I.K., O.A., O.B.Ç.K.; Literature search – S.K., I.K.; Writing – S.K.; Critical review – O.A., O.B., Ç.K.

References

- SEER Cancer Statistics Fact sheets: Testicular Cancer. Bethesda, MD: National Cancer Institute; 2019.
- 2. Hanna NH, Einhorn LH. Testicularcancer—discoveriesandupdates. N Engl J Med 2014;371:2005–16.
- Feng JF, Huang Y, Chen QX. Preoperative platelet lymphocyte ratio (PLR) is superior to neutrophil lymphocyte ratio (NLR) as a predictive factor in patients with esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. World J Surg Oncol 2014;12:58.
- Templeton AJ, Ace O, McNamara MG, Al-Mubarak M, VeraBadillo FE, Hermanns T et al. Prognostic role of platelet to lymphocyte ratio in solid tumors: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2014;23:1204–12.
- Chen H, He J. Preoperative neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio as a prognostic predictor after radical resection of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Zhonghua Zhong Liu Za Zhi 2014;36:294–7.
- Smith RA, Ghaneh P, Sutton R, Raraty M, Campbell F, Neoptolemos JP. Prognosis of resected ampullary adenocarcinoma by preoperative serum CA19-9 levels and platelet–lymphocyte ratio. J Gastrointest Surg. 2008;12:1422–8.
- Stotz M, Gerger A, Eisner F, Szkandera J, LoibnerH, Ress AL, et al. Increased neutrophil–lymphocyte ratio is a poor prognostic factor in patients with primary operable and inoperable pancreatic cancer. Br J Cancer 2013;109:416–21
- Yodying H, Matsuda A, Miyashita M, Matsumoto S, Sakurazawa N, Yamada M, et al. Prognostic significance of neutrophilto-lymphocyte ratio and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio in oncologic outcomes of esophageal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann Surg Oncol 2016;23:646–54.
- Zhao QT, Yuan Z, Zhang H, Zhang XP, Wang HE, Wang ZK, et al. Prognostic role of platelet to lymphocyte ratio in non-small

cell lung cancers: A meta-analysis including 3,720 patients. Int J Cancer 2016;139:164–70.

- 10. Lu C, Gao P, Yang Y, Chen X, Wang L, Yu D, et al. Prognostic evaluation of platelet to lymphocyte ratio in patients with colorectal cancer. Oncotarget 2017;8:86287–95.
- 11. Diakos CI, Charles KA, McMillan DC, Clarke SJ. Cancer-related inflammation and treatment effectiveness. Lancet Oncol 2014;15:e493–503.
- 12. Roxburgh CS, McMillan DC. Cancer and systemic inflammation: treat the tumour and treat the host. Br J Cancer 2014;110:1409–12.
- Zhao Z, Zhao X, Lu J, Xue J, Liu P, Mao H. Prognostic roles of neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio and platelet to lymphocyte ratio in ovarian cancer: a meta-analysis of retrospective studies. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2018;29:849–57.
- 14. Diem S, Schmid S, Krapf M, Flatz L, Born D, Jochum W, et al. Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and Platelet-to-Lymphocyte ratio (PLR) as prognostic markers in patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) treated with nivolumab. Lung Cancer 2017 Sep;111:176–81.
- Zhang Y, Lu JJ, Du YP, Feng CX, Wang LQ, Chen MB. Prognostic value of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and plateletto-lymphocyte ratio in gastric cancer. Medicine (Baltimore) 2018;97:e0144.
- 16. Graziano V, Grassadonia A, lezzi L, Vici P, Pizzuti L, Barba M, et al. Combination of peripheral neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio is predictive of pathological complete response after neoadjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer patients. Breast 2019;44:33–8.
- Guthrie GJ, Charles KA, Roxburgh CS, Horgan PG, McMillan DC, Clarke SJ. The systemic inflammation-based neutrophillymphocyte ratio: experience in patients with cancer. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 2013;88:218–30.
- Templeton AJ, McNamara MG, Šeruga B, Vera-Badillo FE, Aneja P, Ocaña A, et al. Prognostic role of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in solid tumors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Natl Cancer Inst 2014;106:dju124.
- Templeton AJ, Pezaro C, Omlin A, McNamara MG, Leibowitz-Amit R, Vera-Badillo FE, et al. Simple prognostic score for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer with incorporation of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio. Cancer 2014;120:3346–52.
- Proctor MJ, McMillan DC, Morrison DS, Fletcher CD, Horgan PG, Clarke SJ. A derived neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio predicts survival in patients with cancer. Br J Cancer 2012;107:695–9.
- 21. Zaragoza J, Kervarrec T, Touzé A, Avenel-Audran M, Beneton N, Esteve E, et al. A high neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio as a potential marker of mortality in patients with Merkel cell carcinoma: A retrospective study. J Am Acad Dermatol 2016;75:712–21.e1
- 22. Zhang H, Xia H, Zhang L, Zhang B, Yue D, Wang C. Clinical significance of preoperative neutrophil-lymphocyte vs platelet-

lymphocyte ratio in primary operable patients with non-small cell lung cancer. Am J Surg 2015;210:526–35.

- Zhang H, Zhang L, Zhu K, Shi B, Yin Y, Zhu J, et al. Prognostic significance of Combination of Preoperative Platelet Count and Neutrophil-Lymphocyte Ratio (COP-NLR) in patients with non-small cell lung cancer: based on a large cohort study. PLoS One 2015;10:e0126496.
- Grivennikov SI, Greten FR, Karin M. Immunity, inflammation, and cancer. Cell 2010;.140:883–99.
- 25. Fridlender ZG, Albelda SM. Tumor-associated neutrophils: friend or foe? Carcinogenesis 2012;33:949–55.
- el-Hag A, Clark RA. Immunosuppression by activated human neutrophils. Dependence on the myeloperoxidase system. J Immunol 1987;139:2406–13.
- 27. Gooden MJ, de Bock GH, Leffers N, Daemen T, Nijman HW. The prognostic influence of tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes in cancer: a systematic review with meta-analysis. Br J Cancer 2011;105:93–103.
- Labelle M, Begum S, Hynes RO. Direct signaling between platelets and cancer cells induces an epithelial-mesenchymal-like transition and promotes metastasis. Cancer Cell 2011;20:576–90.
- Schumacher D, Strilic B, Sivaraj KK, Wettschureck N, Offermanns S. Platelet-derived nucleotides promote tumor-cell transendothelial migration and metastasis via P2Y2 receptor. Cancer Cell 2013;24:130–7.
- 30. Orditura M, Galizia G, Diana A, Saccone C, Cobellis L, Ventriglia J, et al. Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR) for predic-

tion of distant metastasis-free survival (DMFS) in early breast cancer: a propensity score-matched analysis. ESMO Open 2016;1:e000038

- 31. Ying HQ, Deng QW, He BS, Pan YQ, Wang F, Sun HL, et al. The prognostic value of preoperative NLR, d-NLR, PLR and LMR for predicting clinical outcome in surgical colorectal cancer patients. Med Oncol 2014;31:305.
- 32. Gu X, Gao XS, Qin S, Li X, Qi X, Ma M, et al. Elevated platelet to lymphocyte ratio is associated with poor survival outcomes in patients with colorectal cancer. PLoS One 2016;11:e0163523.
- 33. Xu XL, Yu HQ, Hu W, Song Q, Mao WM. a novel inflammationbased prognostic score, the c-reactive protein/albumin ratio predicts the prognosis of patients with operable esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. PLoS One. 2015;10:e0138657.
- 34. Wang F, Liu ZY, Xia YY, Zhou C, Shen XM, Li XL, et al. Changes in neutrophil/lymphocyte and platelet/lymphocyte ratios after chemotherapy correlate with chemotherapy response and prediction of prognosis in patients with unresectable gastric cancer. Oncol Lett 2015;10:3411–8.
- 35. Karakaya S, Altay M, Kaplan Efe F, Karadağ İ, Ünsal O, Bulur O, et al. The neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio and its relationship with insulin resistance in obesity. Turk J Med Sci 2019;49:245–8.
- 36. Imamoglu GI, Eren T, Baylan B, Karacın C. May high levels of systemic immune-inflammation index and hematologic inflammation markers suggest a further stage in testicular tumours? Urol Int 2019;103:303–10.